

Amendments to the Claims

Please replace the Claims as shown below:

Claims 1-30 (canceled)

31. (currently amended) A computer-implemented method for creating a web transaction test, the method comprising:

sending formatted information to a web browser, wherein said formatted information is used for entry of information pertaining to a web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test;

receiving a formatted message comprising said information pertaining to said web site; and

developing a test configuration file for testing said web site from said formatted message, said test configuration file comprises an automatic scheduling for testing said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test, said automatic scheduling comprises scheduling window information and test repetition information.

32. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 31, further comprising parsing said formatted information.

33. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 32, wherein the parsing is performed automatically.

34. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 32, wherein the parsing is performed manually.

35. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said formatted information comprises a testing form for parsing.

36. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 35, wherein said formatted message is a submission from said testing form.

37. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 35, wherein said testing form is based on a layout of said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

38. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 35, wherein said testing form contains at least one section that is required to be filled out from a list of available alternatives.

39. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 38, wherein said list of available alternatives is influenced by information contained on said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

40. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 38, wherein said list of available alternatives is influenced by information contained on a web server that is associated with said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

41. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 38, wherein said testing form contains at least one secondary section that is required to be filled out from a secondary list of available alternatives.

42. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 41, wherein said secondary list of available alternatives is influenced by which of said list of available alternatives is selected.

43. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said formatted information and said formatted message are in HTML format.

44. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said formatted message is in HTTP format.

45. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said formatted information and said formatted message are directly communicated over a TCP/IP protocol network.

46. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said test configuration file provides a plurality of tests selected from a group comprising a test for URLs, a test for links, a test for form buttons, and a test for frames.

47. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein the-developing said test configuration file is performed automatically.

48. (currently amended) The method as recited in ~~claim 31~~ claim 47, wherein said test configuration file ~~comprises an automatic scheduling for testing~~ provides data to post in a field parameter of said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

49. (currently amended) The method as recited in ~~claim 48~~ claim 47, wherein said ~~automatic scheduling includes scheduling window information and test repetition information~~ test configuration file includes comparison data used for comparing HTML data received from said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test with a predetermined criteria.

50. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said test configuration file provides data to post in a field parameter of said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

51. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said test configuration file includes comparison data used for comparing HTML data received from said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test with a predetermined criteria.

52. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 31, further comprising:
creating a second formatted information based on said test configuration file;
sending said second formatted information to said web browser;
in response to said sending said second formatted information, receiving a second formatted message; and
updating said test configuration file based on said second formatted message.

53. (currently amended) A computer-implemented method for creating a web transaction test, the method comprising:
sending formatted information to a web browser, wherein said formatted information is based on a layout of a web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test;
receiving a formatted message that is based on said formatted information; and
automatically developing a test configuration file from said formatted message, said test configuration file for testing said web site, said test configuration file comprises an automatic scheduling for testing said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test, said automatic scheduling comprises scheduling window information and test repetition information.

54. (currently amended) A computer-implemented method for creating a web transaction test, the method comprising:
sending formatted information to a web browser, wherein said formatted information comprises a testing form, and wherein said testing form comprises:
at least one primary section that is required to be filled out from a primary list of available alternatives; and
at least one secondary section that is required to be filled out from a secondary list of available alternatives;
wherein said primary list of available alternatives and said secondary list of available alternatives are influenced by information contained on a web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test;

in response to said sending said formatted ~~information~~: information:

parsing the testing form;

receiving a formatted message as a submission from said testing form; and

automatically developing a test configuration file from said formatted message,

wherein said test ~~configuration file~~: configuration file

~~provides a plurality of tests selected from a group comprising a test for URLs, a test for links, a test for form buttons, and a test for frames; and~~

comprises an automatic scheduling for testing the web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test, said automatic scheduling comprises scheduling window information and test repetition information.

55. (currently amended) A system for creating a web transaction test, the system comprising:

a web server hosting a web site; and

a computer coupled to said web server and having memory and a processor, said computer operable to:

send formatted information that is used for entry of web site information to a web browser;

receive a formatted message comprising said web site information in response to sending said formatted information; and

develop a test configuration file from said formatted message, wherein said test configuration file is for performing said web transaction test for testing said web site, said test configuration file comprises an automatic scheduling for testing said web site, said automatic scheduling includes scheduling window information and test repetition information.

56. (previously amended) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said computer system is further operable to parse said formatted information.

Claims 57-58 (canceled)

59. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said formatted information comprises a testing form for parsing.

60. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 59, wherein said formatted message is a submission from said testing form.

61. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 59, wherein said testing form is based on a layout of said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

62. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 59, wherein said testing form contains at least one section that is required to be filled out from a list of available alternatives.

63. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 62, wherein said list of available alternatives is influenced by information contained on said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

64. (previously amended) The system as recited in claim 62, wherein said list of available alternatives is influenced by information contained on said web server hosting-said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

65. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 62, wherein said testing form contains at least one secondary section that is required to be filled out from a secondary list of available alternatives.

66. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 65, wherein said secondary list of available alternatives is influenced by which of said list of available alternatives is selected.

67. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said formatted information and said formatted message are in HTML format.

68. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said formatted message is in HTTP format.

69. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said formatted information and said formatted message are communicated over a TCP/IP protocol network.

70. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said test configuration file provides a plurality of tests selected from a group comprising a test for URLs, a test for links, a test for form buttons, and a test for frames.

Claim 71 (canceled)

72. (currently amended) The system as recited in ~~claim 55~~ claim 67, wherein said test configuration file ~~comprises an automatic scheduling for testing~~ provides data to post in a field parameter of said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

73. (currently amended) The system as recited in ~~claim 72~~ claim 69, wherein said ~~automatic scheduling includes scheduling window information and test repetition information~~ test configuration file includes comparison data used for comparing HTML data received from said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test with a predetermined criteria.

74. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said test configuration file provides data to post in a field parameter of said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

75. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said test configuration file includes comparison data used for comparing HTML data received from said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test with a predetermined criteria.

76. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said computer is further operable to:

- create a second formatted information based on said configuration file;
- send said second formatted information to said web browser;
- receive a second formatted message in response to sending said second formatted information; and
- update said test configuration file based on said second formatted message.

77. (currently amended) A system for testing a web based medium, the system comprising:

- a testing center that generates a test for said web based medium; and
- a network coupling said web based medium to said testing center, wherein said test is transmitted from said testing center to said web based medium over said network;

wherein said test is parsed based on information contained on said web based medium;

- wherein at least one section of said test is filled out from a predetermined list;
- wherein said predetermined list is created at least in part based on information contained on said web based medium; and

wherein once said at least one section of said test is filled out, said filled out test is returned to said testing center and used to create a test configuration file, said test configuration file comprises an automatic scheduling for testing said web based medium, said automatic scheduling comprises scheduling window information and test repetition information.